UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
DANIEL LEE THORNBERRY,	No. 2:20-cv-0421 AC P
Plaintiff,	
v.	
WILEY,	<u>ORDER</u>
Defendant.	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff	
alleges violations of his civil rights by defendant. The alleged violations took place in Kern	
County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern	
District of California. See L.R. 120(d).	
Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil a	action which has not been commenced in the proper
division of a court may, on the court's own mo	otion, be transferred to the proper division of the
court. Therefore, this action will be transferre	d to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of
1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this co	ourt will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in
forma pauperis.	
Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff	"s request to proceed in forma pauperis;
	DANIEL LEE THORNBERRY,  Plaintiff,  v.  WILEY,  Defendant.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding

1	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
2	California sitting in Fresno; and
3	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be
4	filed at:
5	United States District Court Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street
6	Fresno, CA 93721
7	DATED: March 3, 2020
8	ALLISON CLAIRE
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	